ARP Case Report nº 22: Apical Anterior Prostate Lesion

Caso Clínico ARP nº 22: Lesão da Próstata Apical Anterior

Daniela Condesso, Henrique Rodrigues, João Abrantes, João C. Costa

JCC Diagnóstico por Imagem, Hospital Particular Viana do Castelo, Portugal

Address

Daniela Condesso JCC Diagnóstico por Imagem, Hospital Particular de Viana do Castelo R. Rosália Castro, 4 4900-424 Viana do Castelo email: daniela.condesso@gmail.com

Case presentation

We present a 78-years-old male patient with a normal digital rectal exame, showing progressive PSA elevation (currently 14.2 ng/ml). The patient had previously performed ultrasound guided biopsy (TRUS) with negative results. He was then referred to JCC - Hospital Particular de Viana do Castelo to undergo a prostate MRI scan (3T) and subsequent MRI guided biopsy (in-bore system).

On T2 weighted imaging we could depict a nodular hypointense lesion with 10 mm of greater diameter, in the anterior gland, at the apex level (Figure 1). The lesion showed restricted diffusion (b 1600) (Figure 2) and reduced ADC value (Figure 3). It was classified PI-RADS 4 (PI-RADS 2.1).



Figure 1



The patient was then submitted to MRI guided biopsy (inbore system), and two samples of the lesion were taken (Figure 4). The histology proved the presence of clinical significant prostate cancer PCa (Gleason 3+4).

Discussion

MRI guided in-bore biopsy is a target biopsy method that enables a real-time feedback with needle placement, allowing better accuracy with fewer samples, providing higher rates of cancer detection.^{1,2} Although TRUS remains the gold standard method for primary PcA diagnosis, about 20% of the lesions remain undiagnosed in the first biopsy, specially those with anterior and appical location which are almost inaccessible by this method.³



Figure 3

Several studies have been demonstrating the better outcome of MRI guided biopsies in the detection of Pca with anterior and central glandular location⁴ and currently, the European Association of Urology recommends this procedure in men with clinical suspition of PCa and a first negative TRUS biopsy. Studies have also been showing other advantages

Figure 4

of MRI guided biopsy over a second TRUS biopsy, such as the lower probability of detecting low risk Pca (not clinical significant) reducing overtreatment, and therefore reducing costs and improving patients' quality of life.² More studies are needed to access the role of MRI in-bore biopsy as a first-line diagnostic tool.

References

 Bott SRJ, Young MPA, Kellet MJ, Parkinson MC. Anterior prostate cancer: is it more difficult to diagnose? BJU International. 2002;89:886-9.
Schimmoller Lars, Blondin Dirk, Arsov Christian. MRI-guided inbore biopsy: differences between prostate cancer location in primary and secondary biopsy settings. AJR. 2016;206:92-9.

^{1.} Kasivisvanathan Veeru, Rannikko Antti S, Borghi Marcelo. MRItargeted or standard biopsy for prostate-cancer diagnosis. N Engl J Med. 2018;378:1767-77.

^{2.} D'Agostino D, Romagnoli D, Gliampaoli M. "In-Bore" MRI-guided prostate biopsy for prostate cancer diagnosis: results from 140 consecutive patients. Curr Urol. 2020;14:22-31.