ACTA RADIOLÓGICA PORTUGUESA
September-December 2021 | Vol 33 nº3 | 3

EDITORIAL



In this Editorial I would like to recall the words from another Editorial in 2017: "In recent decades, Evidence-Based Medicine has assumed an important role in clinical practice, in the various fields of Medicine. Thus, clinical (and radiological!) practice should not be based on impressions or opinions, but rather on results of scientific investigation, published after rigorous peer review and critique."
If, at that time, I reflected on the role of Research in Radiology, in the present Editorial I intend to highlight the fundamental role of Journals and other medical publications in the dissemination of Research and highlight the importance of the evaluation carried out by the Reviewers.

The Editorial Board depends on the knowledge and "expertise" of the Reviewers, for the appreciation and critique of the various articles submitted to the Acta Radiológica (ARP), so that the best ones are selected for publication.

Published articles will influence the clinical practice of ARP readers and, therefore, a rigorous and critical review of manuscripts submitted and accepted for publication is essential. To this end, the Reviewers chosen by the Editorial Board must be recognized experts in the area of knowledge of the article.

In the review process, ARP usually attaches a Review form, to help the reviewers in their task, registering the originality of the article, the priority for publication, the quality of the text, figures, bibliographical references, etc. In addition to these questions, the Reviewer must briefly indicate the strengths and weaknesses of the article and comments, suggestions for revision or correction to be sent to the authors. Finally, the Reviewer must send his/her suggestion to the Editor (accepted for publication, required revisions, rejection).

The work of the Reviewer, done in a double-blind manner, is a commendable work, which requires availability to review the article within the defined deadline, in order to avoid delays in the editorial process. At the same time, the work of the Reviewer is a hidden work, carried out anonymously and free of charge and, rarely, properly recognized. This Editorial therefore intends, in the first place, to thank all ARP Reviewers for the extraordinary work and availability demonstrated, which bring about the success of the ARP and the publication and dissemination of the Authors' work. Secondly, it intends to appeal to all Radiologists to consider the invitations received and, once the invitation is accepted, to comply with the review submission deadline, so that the Authors who choose the ARP for publication of their works are not disappointed and the deadlines of the editorial process are as quick as possible.

The commitment and quality of the Reviewers are fundamental for the improvement of the papers submitted and for the final quality of the articles published, which will undoubtedly have a great impact on the good practices in our clinical activity.

Best wishes for an excellent New Year!

Manuela França

CC BY NC

ARP reviewers requested from 2018 to 2021

We are grateful for the collaboration of the reviewers who most recently made themselves available to evaluate the works submitted to the Acta Radiológica Portuguesa

2018

Ana Catarina Silva
António J. Madureira
Carla Saraiva de Carvalho
Carlos Bilreiro
Guida Matos Ferreira
Henrique Rodrigues
João Lopes Dias
Jorge Brito
José Traila Campos
Leonor Fernandes
Luís Salgueiro Guimarães
Luísa Lobo
Maria Conceição Guerra
Mariana Horta
Miguel Ramalho
Paula Lapa
Paulo Vieira Coelho
Rita Lucas
Sílvia Costa Dias
Teresa Margarida Cunha

2019

Alexandra Borges
Alfredo Gil Agostinho
Ana Luísa Proença
Anabela Albuquerque
Bruno Graça
Carla Saraiva de Carvalho
Catarina Ruivo
Filipe Veloso Gomes
Guida Matos Ferreira
Henrique Roodrigues
Inês Santiago
Jorge Morgado Ferreira
José Traila Campos
Luís Salgueiro Guimarães
Luísa Costa Andrade
Luísa Lobo
Miguel Oliveira e Castro
Miguel Ramalho
Paula Lapa
Paulo Donato
Ricardo Sampaio
Rita Lucas
Sandra Costa Sousa
Sílvia Costa Dias
Teresa Bacelar
Wilian Schmitt

2020

André Carvalho
Alexandra Borges
Amélia Estevão
Carla Saraiva de Carvalho
Carlos Bilreiro
Filipe Veloso Gomes
Guida Matos Ferreira
Henrique Rodrigues
Inês Conde Vasco
Inês Santiago
Isabel Neves Duarte
Jorge Morgado Ferreira
José Traila Campos
Luís Salgueiro Guimarães
M. Inês Póvoa Castanheira Abreu
Paula Lapa
Paulo Vieira Coelho
Rita Lucas
Rosana Santos
Sandra Costa Sousa
Silvia Costa Dias

2021

Alfredo Gil Agostinho
Catarina Ruivo
Carlos Francisco Monteiro da Silva
Célia Antunes
David Coutinho
Eduardo Fleury
Filipe Caseiro Alves
Guida Matos Ferreira
Henrique Alexandrino
Henrique Rodrigues
Inês Conde Vasco
João Amorim
João Facas Martins
João Leitão
João Pedroso Lima
Jorge Morgado Ferreira
José Carlos Marques
José Traila Campos
Leonor Fernandes
Lúcia Costa
Luís Amaral Ferreira
Manuela Gonçalo
Mariana Chaves
Mariana Horta
Miguel Oliveira e Castro
Paula Lapa
Paulo Vieira Coelho
Pedro Pisarra
Rosana Santos
Teresa Margarida Cunha