Post-exercise effects of graduated compression garment use on skeletal muscle recovery and delayed onset muscle soreness: a systematic review
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ABSTRACT

The study aimed to review the literature on the effects related to post-exercise of graduated compression garments (GCGs) use on muscle recovery and delayed onset muscle soreness. The search was performed in Pubmed/Medline, Bireme, Scielo, and Lilacs electronic databases using the following descriptors in English: "compression clothing", "physical exercise", "recovery", "physical activity", "compression stockings" and "delayed onset muscle soreness". The search resulted in 102 articles and after removing duplicates, applying exclusion criteria and checking the reference lists, nine studies fulfilled the criteria and were included in the review. Seven studies associated the use of GCGs with reduction of delayed muscle soreness and improvement in performance after the use of compression clothes. However, the methodological quality of the studies, using PEDro scale, presented an average of 5.1±0.9 points (out of a total of 11 points), classified as intermediate. In conclusion, although the positive effects of using CGCs on improving recovery and reduction of delayed muscle soreness after physical exercises are almost consensual, the insufficient methodological quality of the included studies requires careful consideration of the results.
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INTRODUCTION

The use of graduated compression garments (GCGs) has shown to be efficient in the prevention and treatment of lymphatic and venous diseases (Kelechi, Johnson & WOCN Society, 2012; Nelson & Bell-Syer, 2012), pathologies which affect the population in general due to prolonged standing or sitting positions (Fernandes, Rodrigues & Vianna, 2011; França & Tavares, 2003). The use of these compression accessories aims to reduce inflammatory mediators, promote drainage of metabolites, increase venous return and decrease edema (swelling), favoring the transport of oxygen to the skin and subcutaneous tissue in order to accelerate the healing of venous ulcers (Partsch, Flour & Smith, 2008; Figueiredo, Filho & Cabral, 2004). The advantages derived from the use of GCGs have created a demand for the industry to improve the quality and increase the quantity of GCGs (Gill, Beaven & Cook, 2006) in order to meet the demands ranging from medical to sports fields (Simao, Farinatti, Polito, Maior & Fleck, 2007; Ali, Caine & Snow, 2007).

In sports, the GCGs are used aiming at a number of benefits, including attenuating physical stress, improving performance, delaying fatigue and providing faster recovery after muscle stress (Bringard, Perrey & Belluye, 2006). The first experiment using GCG in the sports environment was carried out in 1987. The study aimed to verify the acute effects of compression socks during a physical exercise session on performance markers and found that when subjects used the socks, they showed lower concentrations of blood lactate, suggesting faster muscle recovery (Berry & McMurray, 1987). The authors hypothesized that the positive action was because the compression socks strengthen the action of the muscular pump on the back of the legs increasing the return of the venous blood to the heart, producing indirect benefits on the levels of lactate post-activity and reduction of...
In order to achieve better results and to reduce muscle damage caused by strenuous physical exercise, previous studies have explored the use of GCGs in reducing physical exhaustion and delayed pain (Kemmler et al., 2009; Bringard et al., 2006; Driller & Halson, 2013). In this sense, GCGs use showed efficiency in mitigating the impact of physical exercise on muscle fibers, preventing cell loss and favoring post-exercise recovery (Doan et al., 2003). The explanation for this process states that, as a consequence of physical exercise, the sarcolemma is damaged leading to a reduction of calcium homeostasis, a process accompanied by cellular necrosis. The presence of cellular waste and immune cells, in turn, leads to inflammation, which is characterized by edema and muscle pain. The use of GCGs aims to reduce the occurrence of injuries (Ali et al., 2007; Berry & McMurray, 1987).

Considering that the use of GCGs has been related to numerous benefits (Chatard et al., 2004; Ali, Creasy & Edge, 2010) after strenuous physical exercises (either by intensity or duration or both), this systematic review aimed to summarize studies about the effects of the post-exercise GCGs use on muscle recovery and delayed onset muscle soreness.

METHOD

Search Strategy

In the present systematic review, experimental studies aimed to measure the effects of the post-exercise use of GCGs on muscle recovery and delayed onset muscle soreness were selected. An electronic search was performed in PUBMED / MEDLINE, BIREME, SCIELO, LILACS databases, selecting articles published from January 2005 to March 2017.

The selection of the descriptors used throughout the review process was done according to the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH). The following descriptors were used in English: "compression garments", "exercise", "physical activity", "recovery", "delayed onset muscle soreness" and "compression stockings". In order to combine the descriptors and terms used in the search, we used the logical operators "and" and "or".

Eligibility criteria and selection of studies

The studies included in this review were randomized controlled trials (RCTs), which presented the “muscle recovery” or “late pain” or “delayed onset muscle soreness” variables, written in English and published since 2005. Articles with deficiencies in the methodological description, especially with regard to objectives, methods, results and conclusions were excluded from the search.

Based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA; Liberati et al., 2009) diagram, two authors independently assessed all included studies. In case of disagreement between the reviewers regarding the evaluation of the studies, an attempt was made to resolve by consensus, and when this was not possible a third reviewer was consulted. PEDro scale (Shiwa et al., 2011) was used to determine the methodological quality of the studies, that is, to identify clinical studies with appropriate internal validity and sufficient statistical information to make the results interpretable. PEDro scale consists of 11 items, each item contributing one point, and the total score varies from 0 (zero) to 10 (ten) points (the first item is not considered in the final score). The selection of the studies was carried out first by the title; after by the reading of the abstracts, and finally by the complete reading of the article.

RESULTS

The search resulted in 102 articles, from which 73 were excluded because they were not experimental studies. After reading the abstracts, 12 studies were excluded because they did not use post-exercise GCGs and eight for other reasons, such as using GCGs during exercise. In total, nine articles met the eligibility criteria and were included in the review (Figure 1).
The articles included in this review used GCGs in a period between 12 and 72 hours post intervention. The study samples were composed of physically active individuals or athletes, most of them exclusively male (Chan, Duffield & Watsford, 2016; De Glanville & Hamlin, 2012; Hamlin et al., 2012; Sperlich, Born, Kaskinoro, Kalliokoski & Laaksonen, 2013); two studies used only women (Jakeman, Byrne & Eston, 2010; Jakeman, Byrne & Eston, 2010b), and three used groups from both sexes (Hill, Howatson, Van Someren, Walshe & Pedlar, 2014, Hill et al., 2017; Kraemer et al., 2010).

The subjects of the articles included in this review used post-intervention GCGs for a period of time ranging from 12 to 72 hours (mean of 33 ± 24.6 hours) (Jakeman, Byrne & Eston, 2010; Jakeman, Byrne & Eston, 2010b). Hill, Howatson, Van Someren, Walshe & Pedlar, 2014, Hill et al., 2017). Regarding the GCGs used in the interventions, six studies used compression pants (De Glanville & Hamlin, 2012, Hammann et al., 2012, Jakeman, Byrne & Eston, Van Someren, Walshe & Pedlar, 2014; Hill et al., 2017), one study reported using full body clothing (Kraemer et al., 2010), one study used compression shorts and sleeves (Chan et al., 2016) and in one study, subjects used compression shorts (Sperlich et al., 2013).

The age of the participants ranged from 20 to 25 years in six studies (Chan et al., 2010, Jakeman et al., 2010, Jakeman et al., 2010b, Kraemer et al., 2010, Sperlich et al., 2013) and between 35 and 50 years in three reports (De Glanville & Hamlin, 2012, Hill et al., 2014, Hill et al., 2017).

The protocols used were diverse: in two studies (Hamlin et al., 2012, Hill et al., 2014) GGCs were used soon after high-intensity running, such as sprints (Hamlin et al., 2012); in three of the studies (Hill et al., 2017; Jakeman et al., 2010b; Jakeman et al., 2010) the GCGs were used after plyometric jumps to induce muscle damage; in two (De Glanville & Hamlin, 2012; Sperlich et al., 2013) the effect of compression shorts after a long-distance cycling training session was analyzed; in one the effects of GCGs use were verified after a marathon (Hill et al., 2014); and one used the GCGs after a period of work included exhaustive movements such as manipulating and transporting moderately heavy to heavy loads or in uncomfortable postures such as squatting (Chan et al., 2016).

From the nine articles included in the review, seven found significant differences in muscle recovery and / or delayed onset muscle soreness after GCGs use (Chan et al., 2016; De Glanville & Hamlin, 2012; Hamlin et al., 2012; Hill et al.,

Figure 1. Flowchart for selection of systematic review articles.
2014; Hill et al., 2017; Kraemer et al., 2010) and two reported no statistically significant differences (Jakeman et al., 2010 b; Sperlich et al., 2013). The method used to measure delayed onset muscle soreness varied between the studies: four of them (Hamlin et al., 2012; Jakeman et al., 2010; Jakeman et al., 2010 b; Kraemer et al., 2010) used the visual analog scale (VAS) with a variation from zero (no pain) to 10 (a lot of pain); two studies (Hill et al., 2004; Hill et al., 2017) also used VAS, but the variation was between zero (no pain) and 200 (maximum pain); one study (Chan et al., 2016) measured muscle pain through a Likert scale ranging from zero (no pain) to 11 (extreme pain) and two studies (De Glanville & Hamlin, 2012; Sperlich et al., 2013) did not report how late pain was measured.

Seven studies (Chan et al., 2016; Hamlin et al., 2012; Hill et al., 2014; Hill et al., 2017; Jakeman et al., 2010; Jakeman et al., 2010 b; Kraemer et al., 2010) have investigated the effect of GCGs on muscle damage based on the serum concentration of creatine phosphokinase (CK) and only Kraemer et al. (2010) reported a positive effect of the use of GCGs on the concentration of these enzyme. In addition, two studies analyzed the effect of GCGs on blood lactate concentration, but did not report any statistically significant difference between the groups (Duffield et al., 2008; De Glanville & Hamlin, 2012). Table 1 summarizes the studies included in this review.

Regarding methodological quality, the included studies presented a mean score of 5.1 (± 0.9) points, varying from 4.0 (Kraemer et al., 2010) to 7.0 points (De Glanville & Hamlin, 2012). When the scale was divided into terciles, most studies were classified as intermediate (Chan et al., 2016; Hamlin et al., 2012, Hill et al., 2017, Jakeman et al., 2010, Jakeman et al., 2010 b) and only one study in the upper tercile (De Glanville & Hamlin, 2012), demonstrating the regular methodological quality of the studies (table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

The studies included in this review aimed to investigate the effect of GCGs on similar outcomes with different exercise protocols (Chan et al., 2016; De Glanville & Hamlin, 2012; Hamlin et al., 2012; Hill et al., 2014; Hill et al., 2017; Jakeman et al., 2010 b; Kraemer et al., 2010); most of them concluded that the use of GCGs significantly reduced delayed onset muscle soreness, generated a faster recovery and decreased fatigue caused by physical exercise.

The studies of Hill et al. (2014) and Hamlin et al. (2012) reported a reduction in post-exercise muscle pain when using GCGs. This finding can be explained by the improvement in blood circulation, allowing greater efficiency in venous blood removal, as well as reducing muscle microtrauma, promoting reduction of swelling and psychological comfort. In this sense, the benefits of GCGs use seem to be an important aid in recovery (Hamlin et al., 2012; Hill et al., 2014).

Since the rules of many competitive sports do not allow the use of GCGs during competition, research has suggested that compression clothes may be suitable as a recovery aid (Kraemer et al., 1996). In this sense, reduction in several blood variables associated with muscle injury and metabolism were reported after using GCGs as a recovery aid (Goto & Morishima, 2014). However, studies reporting results of performance-related blood markers to support the use of garments in order to improve recovery are scarce (Kraemer et al., 1996).

Chatard et al. (2004) reported improvement in metabolic recovery (reduced lactate concentrations) during GCGs use and a better performance in the second of the two five-minute exercise bouts separated by 80 minutes of recovery. In addition, Duffield & Portus (2007) reported a reduction in CK values 24 hours after repeated sprints, but not in performance measures 24 hours after the exercise. Therefore, compression is likely to reduce swelling and limit the acute inflammatory response leading to increased muscle damage (Kraemer et al., 2001). However, Duffiel & Portus (2007) reported that, despite controlling exercise variables in the 24 hours post-intervention, fluid and food intake were not controlled, which may have interfered in the results.
Table 1

Summary of the articles included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Design/ intervention</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Main results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chan et al. (2016)</td>
<td>10 men (23±3 years)</td>
<td>To investigate the effects of the use of GCGs 24 hours after a protocol simulating manual laboring tasks.</td>
<td>Subjects performed, divided into two groups - with or without GCGs use, circuit composed of 10 stations: 9 minutes of continuous exercise followed by 3 minutes of standing recovery, during 4 hours of physical work, using laboring tasks to manipulate and carry loads or in postures uncomfortable as squatting.</td>
<td>- CK; - CRP; - Maximal handgrip strength or knee flexion torque; - Maximum knee extension.</td>
<td>CGCs use reduced CRP, perceived muscle pain, fatigue and perceived exertion during subsequent workload.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Glaville et al. (2012)</td>
<td>14 men (33.8±6.8 years)</td>
<td>To investigate the GCGs’ use during a 24 hours recovery period post cycling 40 km.</td>
<td>RCT – the subjects used GCGs or placebo after performing 40km of cycling. After 24 hours of recovery, GCGs (or placebo) was removed and the second 40km test was performed to verify the effect on subsequent performance.</td>
<td>- Oxygen cost; - Heart rate; - Blood lactate; - Blood pressure; - SPE; - Hydration state - Body weight.</td>
<td>There were no change in the SPE index, oxygen cost, blood lactate, hydration and body weight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamlin et al. (2012)</td>
<td>22 men (20.1±2.1 years)</td>
<td>To investigate if GCGs use (compression ± 13.4 mmHg) during a 24 hours period before a session training, could improve sprint and 3km running performance.</td>
<td>RCT – the subjects used GCGs or placebo 24 hours after circuit simulating a rugby match. After 24 hours of recovery, sprints were performed followed by 3km of running.</td>
<td>- CK; - Muscle pain; - Muscle fatigue; - Blood lactate.</td>
<td>The CGCs’ use reduced fatigue and muscle pain during the tests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill et al. (2014)</td>
<td>24 persons (7 women; 17 men)</td>
<td>To investigate the efficacy of lower limbs GCGs’ use after 72 hours a marathon performance (compression varied between 9.9-24.4 mmHg).</td>
<td>Individuals completed a marathon race and were divided into 2 groups (GCGs or placebo). The treatment group used GCGs for 72 hours after the race. The placebo group received a single 15-minute ultrasound treatment.</td>
<td>- Perceived muscle pain; - Isometric maximal voluntary contraction; - CK; - CRP.</td>
<td>The perceived muscle pain and SPE were significantly lower in the CGCs group 24 hours after the marathon race. There were no significant effects for maximal voluntary isometric contraction, CK and CRP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill et al. (2017)</td>
<td>45 persons (26 men; 19 women)</td>
<td>To investigate different thigh and calf compression rates: high compression (14.8 ± 2.2 mmHg on thigh and 24.3 ± 3.7 mmHg on calf) versus low compression (8.1 ± 1.3 mmHg on thigh and 14.8 ± 2.1 mmHg on calf) during a 72 hours period.</td>
<td>Subjects completed 100 jumps from a 0.6 m platform - 5 sets of 20 jumps, with 10 seconds between each jump and 1-minute rest period between sets. The sample used for 72 hours after the protocol different compression rates CGCs.</td>
<td>- Perceived pain; - Maximal voluntary contraction of knee extensors; - Jump height in movement; - CK; - CRP; - Myoglobin.</td>
<td>The recovery post 72 hours was higher during the high compression CGCs use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Exercises</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jakeman et al. (2010) a</td>
<td>17 women (2.4±1.7 years)</td>
<td>To investigate the efficacy of CGCs’ use (compression rate: 17.3 mmHg on calf and 14.9 mmHg on thigh) as a recovery strategy during 12 hours after physical exercise muscle damage.</td>
<td>Individuals completed plyometric jumps to induce muscle damage and were divided into 2 groups: CGCs use or control groups.</td>
<td>- Perceived muscle pain; - CK; - Concentric strength knee extensors; - Vertical jump performance.</td>
<td>There was no significant effect on CK activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jakeman et al. (2010) b</td>
<td>32 women (2.4±1.7 years)</td>
<td>To determine the efficacy of combined manual massage and CGCs’ use (compression: 17.3 mmHg on calf and 14.9 mmHg on thigh) or CGCs’ use only during 12 hours period after muscle damage.</td>
<td>Individuals completed plyometric jumps to induce muscle damage and were divided into 3 groups: massage plus CGCs - 30 minutes of massage followed by 11.5 hours of CGCs; CGCs for 12 hours; and control.</td>
<td>- Muscle damage index (perceived pain); - CK; - Isokinetic muscle strength “squat” jump; - Countermovement jump performance.</td>
<td>The recovery was similar in both groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kraemer et al. (2010)</td>
<td>20 persons (23.1±2.2 years)</td>
<td>To assess the effects of CGCs’ use in the whole body during a 24 hours recovery period after strength training.</td>
<td>Individuals divided into two groups (CGCs or placebo) to evaluate recovery process 24 hours after a protocol of 8 strength exercises for the whole body.</td>
<td>- Sleep quality; - Vitality rate; - Fatigue rate; - Muscle pain; - Muscle swelling; - Reaction movement time - Throwing force and vertical jump; - CK.</td>
<td>Individuals who used CGCs had a higher vitality rate, lower fatigue rate, muscular pain and muscle swelling, higher throwing force and lower CK activity when compared to the placebo group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sperlich et al. (2013)</td>
<td>6 men (22 ± 2 years)</td>
<td>To investigate if the CGCs’ use (short pants that reach only to the thighs - 35 mmHg) in recovery after high intensity exercise, improve skeletal muscle blood flow and glucose uptake.</td>
<td>10-min warm-up, high intensity cycling to exhaustion, 1-min of recovery followed by cycling at 75% of peak oxygen uptake and post-exercise measurements.</td>
<td>- Blood flow to skeletal muscular; - Biceps and quadriceps glucose uptake.</td>
<td>Compression shorts did not increase muscle blood flow and therefore did not lead to increased supply of energy substrates or increased muscle glucose uptake.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CK = creatine kinase; CRP = C-reactive protein; CGCs = compression graduated clothes; SPE = Subjective perception of exertion; CGS = Compression stockings; RCT = Randomized controlled trial.
The efficacy of GCGs during an endurance race has already been the subject of four studies (Ali et al., 2010; Jakeman et al., 2010 b; Kraemer et al., 2010), in which GCGs were used in an attempt to attenuate markers of damage or improve performance. Two of them (Ali et al., 2007; Kraemer et al., 2010) corroborated with the results of the research from Hill et al. (2014), in which participants of a marathon were submitted to two different protocols of recovery. The authors concluded that the perceived muscle pain and the subjective perception of recovery were significantly lower in the group that used GCGs when compared to the control group (Hill et al., 2014).

The intervention performed by Kraemer et al. (2010) focused on strength exercises and the rate of fatigue, swelling and muscle soreness using GCGs to demonstrate that their use could improve muscle recovery within a period of 3 to 8 hours after exercise. The study used a protocol with resistance tests and presented positive results in consequence of the graduated clothes use, but did not report effects on inflammatory clothes use, as well as heterogeneous groups. The quality analysis of the studies performed using the PEDro scale showed low scores, ranging from 4 to 7 points, considering articles of low and medium qualities. Several studies did not present satisfactory

### Table 2

**Methodological quality of the studies included, according to PEDro scale.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (year)</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan et al. (2016)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Glanville et al. (2012)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamlin et al. (2012)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill et al. (2014)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill et al. (2017)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jakeman et al. (2010) a</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jakeman et al. (2010) b</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kraemer et al. (2010)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sperlich et al. 2013</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** 1 = Random allocation of the subjects; 2 = Blind allocation; 3 = Similar characteristics between groups at the baseline; 4 = Blinding of researcher; 5 = Blinding of the subjects; 6 = Blinding of the researchers who administered the intervention; 7 = Adequately follow-up; 8 = Intention to treat; 9 = results of between-group statistical comparisons are reported for at least one key outcome; 10 = Measures of variability for at least one key outcome.
methodological aspects, lacking the report of the blindness details of both participants and researchers, as well as information on confounding factors (included in the statistical analysis) and loss to follow-up. Given these facts, the results of the studies included in this review need to be carefully analyzed.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the positive effects of using GCGs on improving recovery and reduction of delayed muscle soreness after physical exercises are almost consensual in the existing literature. However, the methodological quality of the included studies requires careful consideration of the results. Studies with a more robust methodology seem to be necessary to allow more robust conclusions about the benefits of using GCGs in recovery and reduction of late pain after exercise.
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