Abstract

Effects of playing with two balls on team lines' motor behaviour during simulated football matches

Asier Gonzalez-Artetxe^{D1*}, Íñigo Vélaz-Lorente^{D2}, Raúl Martínez-Santos^{D2,3}, Asier Los Arcos^{D2,3}

¹ Department of Musical, Visual Arts and Physical Education Didactics, Faculty of Education and Sport, University of the Basque Country, UPV/EHU, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Basque Country, Spain

² Department of Physical Education and Sport, Faculty of Education and Sport, University of the Basque Country, UPV/EHU, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Basque Country, Spain

³ Society, Sports and Physical Exercise Research Group (GIKAFIT), University of the Basque Country, UPV/EHU, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Basque Country, Spain

*E-mail: asier.gonzalez@ehu.eus

Conflict of interest: nothing to declare. Funding: nothing to declare.

Successful football performance primarily depends on the players' adaptability to uncertainty. In competition, coaches organise players in lines to enhance collective tactical patterns and reduce this uncertainty, whereas, in practice, they design alternative scenarios to modify footballers' possibilities of (inter)action and compel them to readjust. This study compared the consequences of playing with two balls on the motor behaviour of two academy football teams.

Forty-four highly trained, developmental players from U14 and U16 teams of the same club took part in the study, which consisted of two simulated, 60-minute football matches (ten vs ten plus goalkeepers) with all the official regulatory conditions. Both teams used their regular 1-4-4-2 formation. Using a GPS, team lines' tactical and conditional performances were obtained from positional data. Mann-Whitney U, with Eta squared (η^2) effect size, and t-test, with Cohen's *d* (Cohen, 1988), were used to check the impact of the extra ball on the distances between lines and the total and high-speed

running (above 4.6 $^{m}/_{s}$ for U14, and 4.9 $^{m}/_{s}$ for U16 [Goto et al., 2015]) distances travelled by each line.

The following tactical results were found for both categories: the distances between the goalkeepers and the defensive lines were much shorter when playing with two balls (U14: p < .0001; $\eta^2 = .163$; U16: p < .0001; $\eta^2 = .32$), while the distances between defensive and mid-fielding lines grew longer (U14: p < .0001; $\eta^2 = .164$; U16: p < .0001; $\eta^2 = .396$) with the extra ball. However, the distance between midfielders and strikers decreased trivially for U14 (p = .0008; $\eta^2 = .001$) and increased moderately for U16 (p < .0001; $\eta^2 = .133$) during the two-ball condition (Figure 1).



In regards to the distances travelled, the midfielders of both teams ran much longer distances at high speed (U14: p = .047; d = 0.85; U16: p = .02; d = 1.09), and the strikers overall (U14: p = .03, d = 1.92; U16: p = .04, d = 1.82) with the extra ball. U16 defenders (p = .02, d = 1.06) and midfielders (p = .02, d = 1.09) also ran much more when playing with two balls.



Coaches can use a second ball to break predetermined team formations and challenge defenders and strikers to solve near-to-goal game situations, while midfielders perform more box-to-box transitions to connect with their teammates. The collective uncertainty generated by this extremely odd extra ball can foster adaptability between the members of a line and between the lines, forcing them to deal with more possibilities of (inter)action.

Acknowledgements

The authors are deeply grateful to the players and coaches of Aurrera Kirol Elkartea for their selfless participation and cooperation in this project. They would also like to thank Aitor Gonzalez-Artetxe for his collaboration during data collection.

References

- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Goto, H., Morris, J. G., & Nevill, M. E. (2015). Motion analysis of U11 to U16 elite English Premier League Academy players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 33(12), 1248–1258. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2014.999700