CRITICAL ANALYSIS TOPICS
FOR <u>SCOPING REVIEW ARTICLES</u>

The paper should be structured according to the following sections.

Title

☐ It is limited to 16 words
☐ In Portuguese, English, and Spanish
☐ It is consistent with the review issue

Abstract

☐ It is limited to 170 words
☐ It includes the Introduction
☐ It identifies the Objectives
☐ It includes the Methodology (Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria, Search Strategy, Study Selection, Methodological Evaluation of Studies, Data Extraction, and Data Synthesis)
☐ It includes the Results
☐ It presents the Conclusion (including the implications for research)
☐ In Portuguese, English, and Spanish
☐ Without citations

Keywords

☐ The article is limited to 6 keywords, presented according to the MeSH (Medical Subject Headings)/ DeCS (Descritores em Ciências da Saúde) descriptors.

Introduction

☐ It includes a brief theoretical framework
☐ It presents the key concepts (including the description of all elements of the mnemonics used)
☐ It provides information on what evidence needs to be examined and mapped, in particular clarifying what specific aspects of the state of the evidence prevent a systematic review from being conducted
☐ It explains the need for the proposed review (includes information about the previous search for scoping reviews on the same or similar topics; the non-existence of scoping

reviews on the same or similar topics identified in that search; and/or explains why this review is different from existing reviews identified in that search)

☐ It presents the review question/objective(s) (PCC framework)

Methodology

☐ It indicates the methodological approach used

☐ It presents the registration information of the scoping review (Platform and Number)

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

☐ It describes the inclusion/exclusion criteria of the studies (elaborated according to the mnemonic used; additionally, it can include criteria related to study designs, publication date, and publication languages)

Search strategy and identification of information sources

☐ It describes the methods for searching the published and unpublished literature

☐ It includes the databases and other resources searched

☐ It presents the search formulas/strategies used

Process of selecting information sources

☐ It describes the methods for performing the information source selection process (including bibliographic management information or tools used)

☐ It indicates the presence of two reviewers in the information source selection process

Data extraction

☐ It describes the methods for extracting data from the information sources

☐ It presents the data extraction instrument or tool used

☐ It indicates the presence of two data extraction reviewers

Data synthesis

☐ It describes the methods for synthesizing the information sources: a narrative synthesis

Results

☐ It shows the results of the information source selection process, including the use of a PRISMA flow diagram (refining the search results until reaching the final information sources to be included)

☐ It presents a table identifying the studies to be included

☐ It presents a summary table of the extracted data

☐ It presents a narrative synthesis

Discussion

☐ It includes the summary of the review's main findings and their discussion within the context of current literature, practice, and policies

☐ It analyses the limitations of the information sources included

☐ It presents the scoping review's limitations

Conclusion

☐ It presents the main conclusions responding to the scoping review's question

UNIDADE DE INVESTIGAÇÃO
EM CIÊNCIAS DA SAÚDE
ENFERMAGEM

Escola Superior de
Enfermagem de Coimbra

fct Fundação
para a Ciência
e a Tecnologia

☐ It shows the implications for research

References

☐ Maximum of 30 references; at least 80% should be from articles in journals indexed in international databases and from the last three years
☐ In accordance with the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association, 7th Edition (2020)